



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,884	02/12/2004	Guido Zehnle	11336/664 (P02105US)	6432
757 BRINKS HOF	7590 02/02/2007 ER GILSOŃ & LIONE		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10395			LE, LANA N	
CHICAGO, IL	60610		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•	
Advisory Action	10/777,884	ZEHNLE ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lana N. Le	2618		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence addres	is	
Zammer   Zammer				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to conshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessared. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	d sufficient reasons why the affidave a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. So not the status of the claims after each to does NOT place the application in	vit or other evidence is not date of filing a brief, will all and/or appellant fails the arched to be of the control of the co	not be o provide a	

ì

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant state the cited reference, Hayashi, does not report the detected level. However, the claim does not specifically cite where the reporting is reported to. Therefore, as disclosed by Hayashi, based on operator's preferences, the user select via remote controller, the channel information signal, which would allow one the tuners to detect the signal level of the received signal at the antenna via a detection circuit 27 and report it to the system microcontroller 41, which in turn will send a control signal to one of tuners to select the desired channel (col 5, lines 4-16; col 5, line 66 - col 6, line 8).

1-24-07

LANA LE PRIMARY EXAMINER